

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. JONES003 **JONES** M 09/430,792 10/30/99 **EXAMINER** WM31/0312 DO, A JOHN G COSTA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 948 HIGHLAND NY 12528 2624 DATE MAILED: 03/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No.

09/430,792

Applicant(s)

JONES ET AL.

Examiner

Anh Hong Do

Group Art Unit 2624



TH	E PERI	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗶	expires5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The name which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any I for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
		t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 14, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, or deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	□ w	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ w	ill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	□ Ar —	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	for all	offidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Siner does not state "Vincent discloses an object identification system" which has not been recited in claim 2. Sent does teach translation of lights into an electronic signal (col. 8, lines 56-60).
		iffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	s allowed: NONE
	Claim	s objected to: NONE
	Claim	s rejected: 2-21
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
	Other	DOC 200 -
		JOSE L. GOUSO PRIMARY FYAME ER